|  |
| --- |
| **DR.05 MENTOR’S ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT ON THE WORK OF THE DOCTORAL CANDIDATE[[1]](#footnote-1)** |
| **DOCTORAL CANDIDATE** |
| **First and last name:** |       |
| **Registration number:** |       | **Academic year for which the report is made:** |       |
| **GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE STUDY PROGRAMME** |
| **Institution responsible for organizing the studies:** |       |
| **Name of study programme:** |       |
| **Name of partner institution** *(in case of dual doctorate)***:** |       |
| **Name of doctoral study programme at partner institution** *(in case of dual doctorate)***:** |       |
| **MENTOR** |
|  | **Full name, title:** | **Institution, country:** |
|  |       |       |
| **DOCTORAL CANDIDATE PROGRESS EVALUATION** |
| **On a scale from 1 to 5, please select your satisfaction with the quality of sessions with the doctoral candidate:** | [ ]  1 - insufficient [ ]  2 - sufficient [ ]  3 - good [ ]  4 - very good [ ]  5 - excellent |
| **If you selected 1 or 2, please explain why and provide recommendations for improvement:** |       |
| **Has a work plan been made, and is the doctoral candidate making progress according to the plan?***(please fill in the relevant box)* | Work plan has been made: | Progress according to the work plan: |
|  | [ ]  yes [ ]  no | [ ]  yes [ ]  no |
| **If you selected “no”, please explain why and provide recommendations for improvement:** |       |
| **Quality assessment of doctoral candidate’s research progress***(since last report)***:** | [ ]  1 - insufficient [ ]  2 - sufficient [ ]  3 - good [ ]  4 - very good [ ]  5 - excellent |
| **If you selected 1 or 2, please explain why and provide recommendations for improvement:** |       |
| **Please provide a comment on the doctoral candidate’s progress since last report:**  |       |
| **DOCTORAL CANDIDATE EVALUATION** |
| **Please grade on a scale from 1 to 5 the following:***(1 - insufficient, 2 - sufficient, 3 - good, 4 - very good, 5 - excellent*) | Doctoral candidate’s preparation for consultation sessions | [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ] 1 2 3 4 5 |
|  | Planning and implementing annual research activities and professional training | [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ] 1 2 3 4 5 |
|  | Progress in mastering the methodology of scientific or artistic research | [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ] 1 2 3 4 5 |
|  | Writing and publication of scholarly papers / Work on the theoretical part of the doctoral thesis *(art doctorate)* | [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ] 1 2 3 4 5 |
|  | Doctoral candidate’s general approach to their studies | [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ] 1 2 3 4 5 |
| **On a scale from 1 to 5, grade the overall quality of the doctoral candidate’s work:** | [ ]  1 - insufficient [ ]  2 - sufficient [ ]  3 - good [ ]  4 - very good [ ]  5 - excellent |
| **If you selected 1 or 2, please explain why and provide recommendations for improvement:** |       |
| **DECISION ON CONTINUING THE STUDY PROGRAMME** |
| **Should the doctoral candidate continue with the study programme?** | [ ]  a) Yes[ ]  b) Yes, under certain conditions[ ]  c) No |
| **If you selected b) or c), please provide an explanation:** |       |
| **Additional comments and mentor’s opinion** *(optional)***:** |       |
| **Place, date and signature** |
| In      , on       Signature      *(place and date)* *(full name of first mentor)* |

1. Forward the completed and signed DR.05 form to the appropriate Student Office in digital and print format. The Student Office forwards the completed form to the study programme council. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)