|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DR.05 MENTOR’S ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT ON THE WORK OF THE DOCTORAL CANDIDATE[[1]](#footnote-1)** | | | | | | |
| **DOCTORAL CANDIDATE** | | | | | | |
| **First and last name:** |  | | | | | |
| **Registration number:** |  | **Academic year for which the report is made:** | | | |  |
| **GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE STUDY PROGRAMME** | | | | | | |
| **Institution responsible for organizing the studies:** |  | | | | | |
| **Name of study programme:** |  | | | | | |
| **Name of partner institution** *(in case of dual doctorate)***:** |  | | | | | |
| **Name of doctoral study programme at partner institution** *(in case of dual doctorate)***:** |  | | | | | |
| **MENTOR** | | | | | | |
|  | **Full name, title:** | | **Institution, country:** | | | |
|  |  | |  | | | |
| **DOCTORAL CANDIDATE PROGRESS EVALUATION** | | | | | | |
| **On a scale from 1 to 5, please select your satisfaction with the quality of sessions with the doctoral candidate:** | 1 - insufficient  2 - sufficient  3 - good  4 - very good  5 - excellent | | | | | |
| **If you selected 1 or 2, please explain why and provide recommendations for improvement:** |  | | | | | |
| **Has a work plan been made, and is the doctoral candidate making progress according to the plan?**  *(please fill in the relevant box)* | Work plan has been made: | | | Progress according to the work plan: | | |
|  | yes  no | | | yes  no | | |
| **If you selected “no”, please explain why and provide recommendations for improvement:** |  | | | | | |
| **Quality assessment of doctoral candidate’s research progress**  *(since last report)***:** | 1 - insufficient  2 - sufficient  3 - good  4 - very good  5 - excellent | | | | | |
| **If you selected 1 or 2, please explain why and provide recommendations for improvement:** |  | | | | | |
| **Please provide a comment on the doctoral candidate’s progress since last report:** |  | | | | | |
| **DOCTORAL CANDIDATE EVALUATION** | | | | | | |
| **Please grade on a scale from 1 to 5 the following:**  *(1 - insufficient, 2 - sufficient, 3 - good, 4 - very good, 5 - excellent*) | Doctoral candidate’s preparation for consultation sessions | | | | 1 2 3 4 5 | |
|  | Planning and implementing annual research activities and professional training | | | | 1 2 3 4 5 | |
|  | Progress in mastering the methodology of scientific or artistic research | | | | 1 2 3 4 5 | |
|  | Writing and publication of scholarly papers / Work on the theoretical part of the doctoral thesis *(art doctorate)* | | | | 1 2 3 4 5 | |
|  | Doctoral candidate’s general approach to their studies | | | | 1 2 3 4 5 | |
| **On a scale from 1 to 5, grade the overall quality of the doctoral candidate’s work:** | 1 - insufficient  2 - sufficient  3 - good  4 - very good  5 - excellent | | | | | |
| **If you selected 1 or 2, please explain why and provide recommendations for improvement:** |  | | | | | |
| **DECISION ON CONTINUING THE STUDY PROGRAMME** | | | | | | |
| **Should the doctoral candidate continue with the study programme?** | a) Yes  b) Yes, under certain conditions  c) No | | | | | |
| **If you selected b) or c), please provide an explanation:** |  | | | | | |
| **Additional comments and mentor’s opinion**  *(optional)***:** |  | | | | | |
| **Place, date and signature** | | | | | | |
| In      , on       Signature  *(place and date)* *(full name of first mentor)* | | | | | | |

1. Forward the completed and signed DR.05 form to the appropriate Student Office in digital and print format. The Student Office forwards the completed form to the study programme council. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)